

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,687	09/17/2003	David M. Skinlo	Q137-US6 6258	
31815 MADVELIZA	7590 08/31/2007 DETH DUCH		EXAMINER	
MARY ELIZABETH BUSH QUALLION LLC			HODGE, ROBERT W	
P.O. BOX 923127 SYLMAR, CA 91392-3127		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
J. J			1745	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/665,687	SKINLO, DAVID M.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert Hodge	1745			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS OF THE MAILING DANSIONS OF THE MAY BE AVAILABLE OF THE MAILING DANSING OF THE MAILING DANSING OF THE MAILING THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	une 2007.				
·		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>43-45 and 67-86</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>43-45,67-69,83,84 and 86</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🖾	Claim(s) 70-82 and 85 is/are objected to.		•			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
, -	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
			·			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/26/07</u> . 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/26/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants state that amended claim 43 overcomes the prior art made of record in rejecting the claim. This is not found persuasive for at least the reason that claim 43 contains new matter and is also indefinite, which will be explained in the below 112 rejections. Furthermore because of the indefiniteness of claim 43 it is the position of the Examiner that the Teramoto and Kitoh reference still read on the claims as recited and therefore the rejections will be maintained. For clarification it is quite clear that the first point in Kitoh is located "adjacent to the case past a center point of the second cap". The breadth of said recitation allows for the first location to be anywhere within the cell as long as it adjacent to the case, and since the case is located at the exterior of the center point the location would be past a center point of the cap, such as located near indicator 5 of figure 4 of the Kitoh reference.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 6/26/07 has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Application/Control Number: 10/665,687

Art Unit: 1745

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 43-45 and 67-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 43 recites the negative limitation of "the tab is not connected to the second end cap from the first location to the second location". The Examiner cannot find support for said recitation anywhere in the instant specification, nor in the drawings. As seen in figure 24 the flexible tab is in physical contact with the end cap pretty much along the whole bottom surface of the end cap wherever the tab is present. Therefore the negative recitation as discussed above reciting that the tab is not connected to the second end cap from the first to second location is new mater.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 43-45 and 67-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 43 recites two locations where a flexible conductive tab is located within the battery cell, the first location being situated adjacent to the case past a center point of the cap and a second location located somewhere else on the cap but not defined and wherein the center point is located somewhere in between the first and second

Application/Control Number: 10/665,687

Art Unit: 1745

locations. The claim then further recites that the tab is not connected to the second cap from the first to the second location. It is unclear where exactly the second location is supposed to be situated. Applicants argue that the prior art does not teach this limitation but it is impossible to determine from the claim language where the second location is going to be located. Therefore as long as the prior art teaches connecting the tab anywhere on the cap it will read on the second location as recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 43-45, 67-69, 83 and 86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teramoto et al. (US 5,501,916) in view of Kitoh et al. (US 6,399,242 B2).

With respect to claims 43, 45 and 86 Teramoto et al. teach a lithium battery comprising a battery can (45) sealed by a first battery lid (47) and a second battery lid (47), an electrically conductive terminal core (48) extending through the first battery lid and electrically insulated from the case by gasket (46), an electrode assembly disposed within the can, wherein the positive electrode is in electrical communication with the core while the negative electrode is not in electrical communication with the core. See Figure 9, Example 2.

However, Teramoto et al. do not teach a conductive tab extending from a location adjacent to the case to a location where the tab is electrically connected to the

Art Unit: 1745

second battery lid. Kitoh et al. teach a lithium battery comprising a battery case, a first battery lid (16), a second battery lid (17), wherein flexible conductive tabs are disposed past a center point of the second battery lid and are electrically connected to the second battery lid. As a result, the internal resistance is reduced and current extraction from the internal electrode become easier. See Figure 4 and Column 5, Lines 20-43. Kitch et al. further teach that the tab is not connected to the second battery lid continuously over a distance extending from the first location to the second location. See Figure 4. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use flexible conductive tabs to electrically connect the negative electrode to the second battery lid such that the tab is not continuously connected over the entire inside surface of the cap in the battery of Teramoto et al., because Kitoh et al. teach such electrical connection can reduce internal resistance and facilitate current extraction from the electrode.

With respect to claim 44, Teramoto et al. teach the case does not have a fill hole. See Figure 9.

With respect to claim 67 Kitoh et al. teach the distance of the connection is shorter than the radius of the second battery lid. See Figure 4.

With respect to claims 68 and 69 Teramoto et al. teach the electrodes spirally wound on the terminal core. The terminal core further comprises a mandrel (49,50) around the core. See Figure 9.

With respect to claim 83, Teramoto et al. teach the positive electrode is in electrical commutation with the terminal core via a weld (52). See Example 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,687

Art Unit: 1745

Claim 84 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Teramoto et al. (US 5,501,916) and Kitoh et al. (US 6,399,242 B2) as applied to claims

43-45, 67-69, 83 and 86 above, and further in view of Cogan (US 5,755,759).

Teramoto et al. and Kitoh et al. as discussed above are incorporated herein.

However, Teramoto et al. and Kitoh et al. do not disclose the use of PtIr alloy as the pin.

Cogan teaches a biomedical device wherein the wire electrode is made of PtIr alloy

because it can record or stimulate physiological function. See Column 3, Lines 43-56.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use PtIr alloy

as the pin onto the battery of Teramoto and Kitoh, because Conga teaches the alloy can

be used in implantable medical device.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 70-82 and 85 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. For reasons made of record in the office action dated 3/7/07.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Hodge whose telephone number is (571) 272-2097. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick'Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RWH

JONATHAN CREPEAU PRIMARY EXAMINER